Abstract. This chapter gives a brief introduction into basic data structures and algorithms, together with references to tutorials available in the literature. We first introduce fundamental notation and algorithmic concepts. We then explain several sorting algorithms and give small examples. As fundamental data structures, we introduce linked lists, trees and graphs. Implementations are given in the programming language C.
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1 Introduction

This chapter is meant as a basic introduction into elementary algorithmic principles and data structures used in computer science. In the latter field, the focus is on processing information in a systematic and often automatized way. One goal in the design of solution methods (algorithms) is about making efficient use of hardware resources such as computing time and memory. It is true that hardware development is very fast; the processors’ speed increases rapidly. Furthermore, memory has become cheap. One could therefore ask why it is still necessary to study how these resources can be used efficiently. The answer is simple: Despite this rapid development, computer speed and memory are still limited. Due to the fact that the increase in available data is even more rapid than the hardware development and for some complex applications, we need to make efficient use of the resources.

In this introductory chapter about algorithms and data structures, we cannot cover more than some elementary principles of algorithms and some of the relevant data structures. This chapter cannot replace a self-study of one of the famous textbooks that are especially written as tutorials for beginners in this field. Many very well-written tutorials exist. Here, we only want to mention a few of them specifically. The excellent book ‘Introduction to Algorithms’ [5] covers in detail the foundations of algorithms and data structures. One should also look into the famous textbook ‘The art of computer programming, Volume 3: Sorting and Searching’[7] written by Donald Knuth and into ‘Algorithms in C’[8]. We warmly recommend these and other textbooks to the reader.

First, of course, we need to explain what an algorithm is. Loosely and not very formally speaking, an algorithm is a method that performs a finite list of instructions that are well-defined. A program is a specific formulation of an abstract algorithm. Usually, it is written in a programming language and uses certain data structures. Usually, it takes a certain specification of the problem as input and runs an algorithm for determining a solution.

2 Sorting

Sorting is a fundamental task that needs to be performed as subroutine in many computer programs. Sorting also serves as an introductory problem that computer science students usually study in their first year. As input, we are given a sequence of \( n \) natural numbers \( \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle \) that are not necessarily all pairwise different. As an output, we want to receive a permutation (reordering) \( \langle a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n \rangle \) of the
numbers such that \( a'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq \ldots \leq a'_n \). In principle, there are \( n! \) many permutations of \( n \) elements. Of course, this number grows quickly already for small values of \( n \) such that we need effective methods that can quickly determine a sorted sequence. Some methods will be introduced in the following. In general, all input that is necessary for the method to determine a solution is called an *instance*. In our case, it is a specific series of numbers that needs to be sorted. For example, suppose we want to sort the instance \( \langle 9, 2, 4, 11, 5 \rangle \). The latter is given as input to a sorting algorithm. The output is \( \langle 2, 4, 5, 9, 11 \rangle \). An algorithm is called *correct* if it stops (*terminates*) for all instances with a correct solution. Then the algorithm *solves* the problem. Depending on the application, different algorithms are suited best. For example, the choice of sorting algorithm depends on the size of the instance, whether the instance is partially sorted, whether the whole sequence can be stored in main memory, and so on.

### 2.1 Insertion Sort

Our first sorting algorithm is called *insertion sort*. To motivate the algorithm, let us describe how in a card player usually orders a deck of cards. Suppose the cards that are already on the hand are sorted in increasing order from left to right when a new card is taken. In order to determine the “slot” where the new card has to be inserted, the player starts scanning the cards from right to left. As long as not all cards have yet been scanned and the value of the new card is strictly smaller than the currently scanned card, the new card has to be inserted into some slot further left. Therefore, the currently scanned card has to be shifted a bit, say one slot, to the right in order to reserve some space for the new card. When this procedure stops, the player inserts the new card into the reserved space. Even in case the procedure stops because all cards have been shifted one slot to the right, it is correct to insert the new card at the leftmost reserved slot because the new card has smallest value among all cards on the hand. The procedure is repeated for the next card and continued until all cards are on the hand. Next, suppose we want to formally write down an algorithm that formalizes this insertion-sort strategy of the card player. To this end, we store \( n \) numbers that have to be sorted in an array \( A \) with entries \( A[1] \ldots A[n] \). At first, the already sorted sequence consists only of one element, namely \( A[1] \). In iteration \( j \), we want to insert the key \( A[j] \) into the sorted elements \( A[1] \ldots A[j-1] \). We set the value of index \( i \) to \( j - 1 \). While it holds that \( A[i] > A[j] \) and \( i > 0 \), we shift the entry of \( A[i] \) to entry \( A[i+1] \) and decrease \( i \) by one. Then we insert the key in the array at index \( i + 1 \). The corresponding implementation of *insertion sort* in the programming language C is given below. For ease of presentation, for a sequence with \( n \) elements, we allocate an array of size \( n + 1 \) and store the elements into \( A[1] \ldots A[n] \). Position 0 is never used. The *main* function first reads in \( n \) (line 7). In lines 8–12, memory is allocated for the array \( A \) and the numbers are stored. The following line calls insertion sort. Finally, the sorted sequence is printed.

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void insertion_sort();
```


```c
main()
{
    int i, j, n;
    int *A;
    scanf("%d", &n);
    A = (int *) malloc((n+1)*sizeof(int));
    for (i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
        scanf("%d", &j);
        A[i] = j;
    }
    insertion_sort(A, n);
    for (i = 1; i <= n; i++) printf("%5d", A[i]);
    printf("\n");
}

void insertion_sort(int* A, int n)
{
    int i, j, key;
    for (j = 2; j <= n; j++) {
        key = A[j];
        /* insert A[j] into the sorted sequence A[1...j-1] */
        i = j - 1;
        while ((i > 0) && (A[i] > key) ) {
            A[i+1] = A[i];
            i--;
        }
        A[i+1] = key;
    }
}

2.1.1 Some Basic Notation and Analysis of Insertion Sort

For studying the resource-usage of insertion sort, we need to take into account that some memory is necessary for storing array A. In the following, we focus on analyzing the running time of the presented algorithms as this is the bottleneck for sorting. In order to be able to analyze the resources, we make some simplifying assumptions. We use the model of a ‘random access machine’ (RAM) in which we have one processor and all data are contained in main memory. Each memory access takes the same amount of time. For analyzing the running time, we count the number of primitive operations,
```
such arithmetic and logical operations. We assume that such basic operations all need the same constant time.

Example: Insertion Sort

\[ 5 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 6 \quad 1 \quad 4 \]

\[ 2 \quad 5 \quad 3 \quad 6 \quad 1 \quad 4 \]

\[ 2 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 1 \quad 4 \]

\[ 2 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 1 \quad 4 \]

\[ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 4 \]

\[ 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \]

Figure 1: Insertion sort for the sequence \( 5, 2, 3, 6, 1, 4 \).

Intuitively, sorting 100 numbers takes longer than only 10 numbers. Therefore, the running time is given as a function of the size of the input \((n\) here\). Furthermore, for sequences of equal length, sorting ‘almost sorted’ sequences should be faster than ‘unsorted’ ones. Often, the so-called worst case running time of an algorithm is studied as a function of the size of the input. The worst-case running time is the largest possible running times for an instance of a certain size and yields an upper bound for the running time of an arbitrary instance of the same size. It is not clear beforehand whether the ‘worst case’ appears ‘often’ in practice or only represents some ‘unrealistic’, artificially constructed situation. For some applications, the worst case appears regularly, for example when searching for a non-existing entry in a database.

For some algorithms, it is also possible to analyze the average case running time which is the average over the time for all instances of the same size. Some algorithms have a considerably better performance in the average than in the worst case, some others do not. Often, however, it is difficult to answer the question what an ‘average instance’ should be, and worst-case analyses are easier to perform. We now examine the question whether the worst-case running time of insertion sort grows linearly, or quadratically, or maybe even exponentially in \( n \). First, suppose we are given a sequence of \( n \) numbers, what constitutes the worst case for insertion sort? Clearly, most work needs to be done when the instance is sorted, but in decreasing instead of in increasing order. In this case, in each iteration the condition \( A[i] > \text{key} \) is always satisfied and the while-loop only stops because the value of \( i \) drops to zero. Therefore, for \( j = 2 \) one assignment \( A[i + 1] = A[i] \) has to be performed. For \( j = 3 \), two of these assignments have to be done, and so on, until for \( j = n - 1 \) we have to perform \( n - 2 \)
Figure 2: This schematic picture visualizes the characteristic behavior of two functions \( f(x), g(x) \) for which \( f(x) = \Theta(g(x)) \).

assignments. In total, these are \( \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} j = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \) many assignments, which are quadratically many.  

Usually, a simplified notation is used for the analysis of algorithms. As often only the characteristic asymptotic behavior of the running time matters, constants and terms of lower order are skipped. More specifically, if the value of a function \( g(n) \) is at least as large as the value of a function \( f(n) \) for all \( n \geq n_0 \) with a fixed \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( g(n) \) yields asymptotically an upper bound for \( f(n) \), and we write \( f = O(g) \).

The worst-case running time for insertion sort thus is \( O(n^2) \). Similarly, asymptotic lower bounds are defined and denoted by \( \Omega \). If \( f = O(g) \), it is \( g = \Omega(f) \). If a function asymptotically yields a lower as well as an upper bound, the notation \( \Theta \) is used.

More formally, let \( g : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \) be a function. We define

\[
\Theta(g(n)) := \{ f(n) | (\exists c_1, c_2, n_0 > 0)(\forall n \geq n_0) : 0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n) \}
\]

\[
O(g(n)) := \{ f(n) | (\exists c, n_0 > 0)(\forall n \geq n_0) : 0 \leq f(n) \leq c g(n) \}
\]

\[
\Omega(g(n)) := \{ f(n) | (\exists c, n_0 > 0)(\forall n \geq n_0) : 0 \leq c g(n) \leq f(n) \}
\]

In Figure 2, we show the characteristic behavior of two functions for which there exists constants \( c_1, c_2 \) such that \( f(x) = \Theta(g(x)) \).

Example: Asymptotic Behavior

Using induction, for example, one can prove that \( 10n \log n = O(n^2) \) and vice versa \( n^2 = \Omega(n \log n) \). For \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \), it is \( an^2 + bn + c = \Theta(n^2) \).

In principle, one has to take into account that the \( O \)-notation can hide large constants and terms of second order. Although it is true that the leading term determines the asymptotic behavior, it is possible that in practice an algorithm with

1 BTW: What kind of instance constitutes the best case for insertion sort?
2 Sorting

slightly larger asymptotic running time performs better than another algorithm with better $O$-behavior.

It turns out that also the average-case running time of insertion sort is $O(n^2)$. We will see later that sorting algorithms with worst-case running time bounded by $O(n \log n)$ exists. For large instances, they show better performance than insertion sort. However, insertion sort can easily be implemented and for small instances is very fast in practice.

2.2 Sorting using the Divide-and-Conquer Principle

A general algorithmic principle that can be applied for sorting consists in *divide and conquer*. Loosely speaking, this approach consists in *dividing* the problem into subproblems of the same kind, *conquering* the subproblems by recursive solution or direct solution if they are small enough, and finally *combining* the solutions of the subproblems to one for the original problem.

2.2.1 Merge Sort

The well-known merge sort algorithm specifies the divide and conquer principle as follows. When merge sort is called for array $A$ that stores a sequence of $n$ numbers, it is divided into two sequences of equal length. The same merge sort algorithm is then called recursively for these two shorter sequences. For arrays of length one, nothing has to be done. The sorted subsequences are then merged in a zip-fastener manner which results in a sorted sequence of length equal to the sum of the lengths of the subsequeces. An example implementation in C is given in the following. The main function is similar to the one for insertion sort and is omitted here. The constant infinity is defined to be a large number. Then, merge_sort($A$, 1, n) is the call for sorting an array $A$ with $n$ elements. In the following merge_sort implementation, recursive calls to merge_sort are performed for subsequences $A[p], \ldots, A[q]$ and $A[q+1], \ldots, A[r]$. In line 5, the position $q$ of the middle element of the sequence is determined. merge_sort is called for the two sequences $A[p], \ldots, A[q]$ and $A[q+1], \ldots, A[r]$.

```c
void merge_sort(int* A, int p, int r)
{
    int q;
    if (p < r) {
        q = p + ((r - p) / 2);
        merge_sort(A, p, q);
        merge_sort(A, q + 1, r);
        merge(A, p, q, r);
    }
}
```

In the following, the merge of two sequences $A[p], \ldots, A[q]$ and $A[q+1], \ldots, A[r]$ is implemented. To this end, an additional array $B$ is allocated in which the merged sequence is stored. Denote by $a_i$ and $a_j$ the currently considered elements of each of
the sequences that need to be merged in a zip-fastener manner. Always the smaller of \(a_i\) and \(a_j\) is stored into \(B\) (lines 12 and 17). If an element from a subsequence is inserted into \(B\), its subsequent element is copied into \(a_i\) (or \(a_j\), resp.) (lines 14 and 19). The merged sequence \(B\) is finally copied into array \(A\) in line 22.

```c
void merge(int* A, int p, int q, int r)
{
  int i, j, k, ai, aj;
  int *B;
  B = (int *) malloc((r - p + 2)*sizeof(int));
  i = p;
  j = q + 1;
  ai = A[i];
  aj = A[j];
  for (k = 1; k <= r - p + 1; k++) {
    if (ai < aj) {
      B[k] = ai;
      i++;
      if (i <= q) ai = A[i]; else ai = infinity;
    }
    else {
      B[k] = aj;
      j++;
      if (j <= r) aj = A[j]; else aj = infinity;
    }
  }
  for (k = p; k <= r; k++) A[k] = B[k-p+1];
  free(B);
}
```

Mergesort is well suited for sorting massive amounts of data that do not fit into main memory. Subsequences that do fit into main memory are sorted first and then merged only in the end. It is therefore called an external sorting algorithm.

Without going into a high level of detail, let us analyze the worst-case running time of merge sort. Suppose it is some function \(T(n)\). For ease of presentation, we assume that \(n\) is a power of two, i.e., there exists \(r \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(n = 2^r\). We note that the middle of the subsequence can be determined in constant time. We then need to sort two subsequences of size \(\frac{n}{2}\) which takes time \(2T(\frac{n}{2})\). Due to the for-loop in \texttt{merge()}, merging two subsequences of lengths \(\frac{n}{2}\) takes time \(\Theta(n)\). In total, the function \(T(n)\) to be determined needs to satisfy the recurrence

\[
T(n) = \begin{cases} 
\Theta(1), & \text{for } n = 1 \\
2T(\frac{n}{2}) + \Theta(n), & \text{for } n > 1
\end{cases}
\]

It can be shown that the recurrence is solved by \(T(n) = \Theta(n \log_2 n)\). (As a test, insert this function into the recurrence...) Thus, the worst-case running time \(O(n \log n)\) of merge sort is better than the quadratic worst-case running time of insertion sort.
Example: Merge Sort
Suppose we want to sort the instance \((1, 7, 9, 2, 4, 8, 3, 5)\) with merge sort. First, the recursive calls to the function \text{merge\_sort} continues dividing the sequence in the middle into subsequences until the subsequences only contain one element. This is visualized in the top of Figure 3 by a top-down procedure. Then \text{merge} always merges subsequences into a larger sorted sequence in a zip-fastener manner. This is as well visualized on the bottom of Figure 3.

Instead of sorting numbers only, we can easily extend whatever we have said until now to more general sorting tasks in which we are given \(n\) data records \(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\) with keys \(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n\). An ordering relation \(\leq\) is defined on the keys and we need to find a permutation of the data such that the permuted data is sorted according to the ordering relation, as we discuss next. For example, a record could consist of a name of a person together with a telephone number. The task could be to sort the records alphabetically. The keys are the people’s names, and the alphabetical order is the ordering relation.

2.2.2 Quicksort
Quicksort is another divide-and-conquer sorting algorithm that is widely used in practice. For a sequence with length at most one, nothing is done. Otherwise, we take a
specific element \(a_i\) from the sequence, the so-called pivot element. Let us postpone for the moment a discussion how such a pivot should be chosen. We aim at finding the correct position for \(a_i\). To this end, we start from the left and search for an element \(a_k\) in the subsequence left of \(a_i\) that is larger than \(a_i\). As we want to sort in increasing order, the position of \(a_k\) is wrong. Similarly, we start from the right and search for an element \(a_l\) in the subsequence right of \(a_i\) that is smaller than \(a_i\). Elements \(a_k\) and \(a_l\) then exchange their positions. If only one such element is found, it is exchanged with \(a_i\). This is the only case in which \(a_i\) may change its position. Note that \(a_i\) will still be the pivot. This procedure is continued until no further elements need to be exchanged. Then \(a_i\) is at the correct position, say \(t\), because all elements in the subsequence to its left are not larger and all elements in the subsequence to its right are not smaller than \(a_i\). This is the division step. We are now left with the task of sorting two sequences of lengths \(t-1\) and \(n-t\). Quicksort is called recursively for these sequences (conquer step). Finally, the subsequences are combined to a sorted sequence by simple concatenation. Obviously, the running time of quicksort depends on the choice of the pivot element. If we are lucky, it is chosen such that the lengths of the subsequences are always roughly half of the length of the currently considered sequence which means that we are done after roughly \(\log n\) division steps. In each step, we have to do \(\Theta(n)\) many comparisons. Therefore, the best-case running time of quicksort is \(\Theta(n \log n)\).

If we are unlucky, \(a_i\) is always the smallest or always the largest element so that we need linearly many division steps. Then, we need \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \Theta(n^2)\) many comparisons which leads to quadratic running time in the worst case. It can be proven that the average-case running time is bounded from above by \(O(n \log n)\). Different choices for the pivot have been suggested in the literature. For example, one can just always use the ‘rightmost’ element. A C-implementation of quicksort with this choice of the pivot element is given below. However, quicksort has quadratic running time in the worst case. Despite the fact that the worst-case running time of quicksort is worse than that of merge sort, it is still the sorting algorithm that is mostly used in practice. One reason is that the worst case does not occur often so that the ‘typical’ running time is better than quadratic in \(n\). In practice, merge sort is usually faster than quicksort.

In the following C-implementation we slightly extend the task to sorting elements that have a key and some information info. Sorting takes place with respect to key. A struct item is defined accordingly. In the main routine, we read in the keys for item A (for brevity, info values are not considered in this example implementation). Initially, quick_sort is called for A and positions 1 to n.

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

typedef int infotype;
typedef struct {
  int key;
  infotype info;
} item;

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
typedef int infotype;
typedef struct {
  int key;
  infotype info;
} item;

int main() {
  item A[10];
  // Read in keys for A
  quick_sort(A, 0, 9);
  // Now A is sorted
  return 0;
}
```
```c
void quick_sort(item* A, int l, int r)
{
    int i, j, pivot;
    item t;
    if (r > l) {
        i = l - 1;
        j = r;
        pivot = A[r].key; /* pivot element */
        while (1) {
            do i++; while (A[i].key < pivot);
            do j--; while (A[j].key > pivot);
            if (i >= j) break; /* i is position of pivot */
            t = A[i];
            A[i] = A[j];
            A[j] = t;
        }
        t = A[i];
        A[i] = A[r];
        A[r] = t;
    }
}
```

Next, the implementation of function `quick_sort` is given. In line 8, the pivot element is taken as the rightmost element at position `r`. While we find elements that need to be exchanged with the pivot element (line 9), we compare the pivot with the elements in the sequence. In line 10, we start with `i = l` and increase `i` until the element at position `i` is at least as large as the pivot and thus should exchange position with another element. Similarly, we start in line 11 with `j = r` and decrease `j` until the element at position `j` is at most as large as the pivot. If position `i` is left of `j`, the corresponding elements exchange position (lines 12-14). Otherwise, only one element was found that has to be exchanged with the pivot (lines 18-20). The while-loop stops when no further elements need to be exchanged and thus the pivot is at the correct position. Then, `quick_sort` is called recursively for the subsequences `A[1],..., A[i-1]` and `A[i+1],..., A[r]` in lines 21 and 22.
2.3 A Lower Bound on the Performance of Sorting Algorithms

The above methods can be applied if we are given the sequence of numbers that needs to be sorted without further information. In case, for example, it is known that the \( n \) numbers are taken from a set of elements with bounded size, there exists algorithms that can sort these sequences in a more efficient way. For example, if it is known that the numbers are taken from the set of \( \{1, \ldots, n^k\} \), then bucket sort can sort them in time \( O(kn) \). In contrast, for the algorithms considered here, the only information we have is based on comparing the elements’ keys.

Suppose we want to design a sorting algorithm that sorts arbitrary sequences of \( n \) elements. It is only based on comparing their keys and on moving data records. Considering sequences with pairwise different elements, it can be proven that any sorting algorithm has a running time bounded from below by \( \Omega(n \log n) \). As we cannot achieve a comparison based-algorithm with better running time than that, merge sort is a sorting algorithm that is asymptotically time-optimal. The same is true for the heap sort method that we do not cover in this introductory chapter.

3 Select the \( k \)-th smallest element

Suppose we want to find the \( k \)-th smallest number in a (potentially unsorted) sequence of numbers. As a special case, if \( n \) is odd and \( k = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \), we want to find the median of the sequence. For the special case of determining the minimum (maximum, resp.) element, we simply scan once through the list in linear time, compare the scanned element with the currently smallest (largest, resp.) element and update the latter whenever necessary.

For general values of \( k \), a straightforward solution for searching the \( k \)-th element in sorted order is: We first sort the \( n \) numbers in time \( O(n \log n) \) and then find the \( k \) element. The total running time of this algorithm is bounded by the sorting step and thus needs time \( O(n \log n) \) in the worst case. This approach is a good choice if many selection queries need to be performed as these queries are fast once the sequence is sorted. There exist however also algorithms with linear running time, for example the median of medians algorithm. Its general idea is closely related to that of quicksort in the sense that a pivot element is determined, pairs of elements are swapped appropriately and then the problem is solved recursively for a subsequence. The pivot element is determined by dividing the \( n \) elements into groups of five elements each (plus zero to four leftover elements). The elements in each group are sorted and their median is taken. In total, this yields \( \frac{n}{5} \) ‘median’ elements. In this subsequence of medians, the median is determined recursively using the same grouping algorithm.
The resulting ‘median of medians’ is taken as pivot element with the same role as in quicksort. The sequence is then divided into two subsequences according to the position of the pivot. The search is continued recursively in the correct subsequence of the two until the position of the pivot is \( k \) and the algorithm stops. It can be proven that the worst-case running time of this algorithm is bounded by \( O(n) \).

4 Binary Search

Suppose we have some sorted sequence at hand and want to know the position of an element with a certain key \( k \). For example, let the keys be \( \langle 1, 3, 3, 7, 9, 15, 27 \rangle \). Suppose we want to determine the position of an element with key 9. A straightforward linear-time search algorithm would scan each element in the sequence, compare it with the element we search for and stops when either the element we look for has been found or the whole sequence has been scanned without success. If we however know that the sequence is sorted, searching for a specific element can be done more efficiently with the divide and conquer principle.

Suppose, for example, we want to find the telephone number of a specific person in a telephone book. Usually, people do a mixture of a divide and conquer method together with a linear search. In fact, if we look for a person with name Knuth, we will open the telephone book somewhere in the middle. If the names of the people where we opened start, say, with an O instead a K, we know we need to search for Knuth further towards the beginning of the book. If instead they start with, say, an F, we need to search further towards the end. If we find that at the beginning of the current page, the names are ‘close’ to Knuth, we probably continue with a linear search until we find Knuth.

A more formalized search algorithm using divide and conquer, binary search, needs only time \( O(\log n) \) in the worst case. We just compare \( k \) with the key of the element in the middle of the currently considered sorted sequence. If this key is the one we are searching for, we stop. Otherwise, if the key is smaller than \( k \), we know we have to search in the subsequence ‘left of the middle’ that contains the elements with smaller keys. If instead it is larger, the element we look for is in the subsequence right of the middle. We apply the same argument in the corresponding subsequence whose length is half of that of the original one. An implementation of binary search is given next. First, we specify the main function.

Next, the implementation of binary_search is given. The middle \( m \) of the sequence \( A[l..r] \) is determined in line 7. If the element at this position is smaller than \( k \) (line 8), we continue the search in the subsequence \( A[l..m-1] \), otherwise in \( A[m+1..r] \) (line 8 and 9). The search stops either if the element has been found or when the lower index \( l \) has become at least as large as the upper \( r \) (line 10) which means that \( k \) is not contained in the sequence.

```c
int binary_search(item *A, int l, int r, int k) {  
/* searches for position with key k in A[l..r], */  
/* returns 0, if not successful */
```
int m;
do {
    m = l + ((r - l) / 2);
    if (k<A[m].key) r = m - 1;
    else l = m + 1;
} while ((k!=A[m].key) && (l<=r));
if (k==A[m].key) return m;
else return 0;
}

For simplifying the analysis, we assume that the length of the sequence is a power of two minus 1, i.e., \( n \) can be written as \( n = 2^r - 1 \) with some appropriately chosen \( r \in \mathbb{N} \). We need constant time for determining the middle of the sequence and for the decision whether we need to continue the search in the subsequence to the left or in that to the right. In the best case, we immediately find the correct element and thus have a best-case running time of \( \Theta(1) \). In the worst case, the element we look for is either not contained in the sequence or is only found when the subsequence has length one. Then, \( \log n \) division steps and decisions for the correct subsequences have to be performed. As each of these steps needs constant time, the worst-case running time of binary search is \( \Theta(\log n) \). (One can show that also the average-case running time is \( \Theta(\log n) \).

Example: Binary Search

Consider again the sequence \( \langle 1, 3, 3, 7, 9, 15, 27 \rangle \). Suppose we want to determine the position of an element with key \( k = 3 \). First, binary search compares the middle element (key equal to 7) with \( k \). As \( k < 7 \), the search continues in the subsequence \( \langle 1, 3, 3 \rangle \). The middle of this subsequence is then an element we look for and we can stop.

5 Elementary Data Structures

Up to now, we have mainly focused on sorting algorithms and their performance. In the given C implementations, the elements were simply stored in arrays of length \( n \). Whereas arrays are well suited for our purposes, it is sometimes necessary to use more involved data structures. As an easy example, suppose we have an application in which we do not know beforehand how many elements we need to store. Or suppose we want to remove an element somewhere in the middle. Of course, when using an array, elements can be deleted from it by shifting all elements further ‘right’ one position to the left. This however can take long for large \( n \) and many deletion tasks as one deletion operation needs a time that grows linearly with the size of the array, in the worst case. Furthermore, inserting an element into an array usually means to copy the whole array into a new one that is larger. Therefore, more advanced data structures have been introduced that serve different purposes.
5 Elementary Data Structures

5.1 Stacks

As an elementary data structure, we introduce a stack. A stack works like an in-tray that people use for incoming mail in the sense that whatever is inserted last is returned first (‘last-in first-out’ principle), see Figure 4. As elementary operations, a stack has several functions available. The function empty returns 0, if no element is contained in it, and 1 otherwise. Similarly, full also returns a Boolean value. push inserts an element in the stack and pop deletes and returns the element that was inserted last. As elements are only inserted and deleted at one ‘end’, a stack can easily be implemented with an array of a maximum size that is given by STACKSIZE. Here, elements are inserted and deleted from the ‘right’. In the following main function, the stack is initialized (line 12). As an example, some elements are pushed into it and finally removed again (lines 13–19). The last pop operation simply returns a message saying the stack is empty.

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#define STACKSIZE 4

typedef struct {
    int stack[STACKSIZE-1];
    int stackpointer;
} Stackstruct;

void stackinit(Stackstruct* s);
int empty(Stackstruct* s);
int full(Stackstruct* s);
void push(Stackstruct* s, int v);
int pop(Stackstruct* s);

main()
{
    Stackstruct s;
    stackinit(&s);
    push(&s,1);
push(&s,2);
    push(&s,3);
push(&s,4);
push(&s,5);
    pop(&s);
push(&s,8);
push(&s,9);
push(&s,10);
    pop(&s);
push(&s,12);
push(&s,13);
push(&s,14);
push(&s,15);
    pop(&s);
push(&s,17);
push(&s,18);
push(&s,19);
push(&s,20);
    pop(&s);
push(&s,22);
    pop(&s);
n
```
push(&s,3);
printf("%d\n",pop(&s));
printf("%d\n",pop(&s));
printf("%d\n",pop(&s));
printf("%d\n",pop(&s));
}

Next, the implementation of the stack functions is given. The variable `stackpointer` stores the number of elements contained in the stack. In `stackinit`, this variable is set to zero. In `push`, an element is inserted in the stack by inserting it into the array at position `stackpointer`. `pop` then returns the element at position `stackpointer` and 'deletes' the popped element by reducing the size of `stackpointer` by one.

```c
void stackinit(Stackstruct *s)
{
  s->stackpointer = 0;
}

int empty(Stackstruct *s)
{
  return (s->stackpointer<=0);
}

int full(Stackstruct *s)
{
  return (s->stackpointer>=STACKSIZE);
}

void push(Stackstruct *s, int v)
{
  if (full(s)) printf("!!! Stack is full !!!\n");
  else {
    s->stack[s->stackpointer] = v;
    s->stackpointer++;
  }
}

int pop(Stackstruct *s)
{
  if (empty(s)) {
    printf("!!! Stack is empty !!!\n");
    return -1;
  }
  else {
    s->stackpointer--;
    return s->stack[s->stackpointer];
  }
}
```
5 Elementary Data Structures

5.2 Linked Lists

If the number of records that need to be stored is not known beforehand, a list can be used. Each record (also called node) in the list has a link to its successor in the list. (The last element links to a NULL record.) We also save a pointer head to the start of the list. A visualization of such a singly-linked list can be seen in Figure 5. Inserting a record into and deleting a record from the list can then be done in constant time by manipulating the corresponding links. If for each element there is an additional link to the predecessor in the list, we say the list is doubly-linked.

The implementation of a node in the list consists of an item as implemented before, together with a link pointer to its successor that is called next.

```
typedef struct Node_struct {
  item dat;
  struct Node_struct *next;
} Node;
```

If a new item is inserted into the list next to some Node p, we first store it into a new Node that we call r. Then, the successor of p is r (line 1 in the following source code), and the successor of r is q (line 2). In C, this looks as follows.

```
Node *q = p->next;
p->next = r;
r->next = q;
```

Deleting the node next to p can be performed as follows. We first link p->next to p->next->next, see Figure 6. We then free the memory of p. (Here, we assume we are already given p. In case we instead only know, say, the key of the Node we want to remove, we first need to search for the Node with this key.)
Finally, we want to search for a specific item in the list. This can easily be done with a while-loop that starts at the beginning of the list and continues comparing the nodes in the list with the element. While the correct element has not been found, the next element is considered.

```c
Node *pos = head;
while (pos != NULL && pos->next->dat.key != x.key ) pos = pos->next;
return pos;
```

Other advanced data structures exists, for example queues, priority queues, and heaps. For each application, a different data structure might work best. Therefore, one first specifies the necessary functionality and then decides which data structure serves the needs. Here, let us briefly compare an array with a singly-linked linear list. When using an array A, accessing an element at a certain position i can be done in constant time by accessing A[i]. In contrast, a list does not have indices, and indexing takes $O(n)$. Inserting an element in an array or deleting it needs time $O(n)$ as discussed above, whereas it takes constant time in a linked list if inserted at the beginning or at the end. If the node is not known next to which it has to be inserted, insertion takes the time for searching the corresponding node plus constant time for manipulating the links. Thus, depending on the application, a list can be suited better than an array or vice versa. The sorting algorithms that we have introduced earlier make frequent use of accessing elements at certain positions. Here, an array is suited better than a list.

### 5.3 Graphs, Trees, and Binary Search Trees

A graph is a tuple $G = (V, E)$ with a set of vertices $V$ and a set of edges $E \subseteq V \times V$. An edge $e$ (also denoted by its endpoints $(u, v)$) can have a weight $w_e \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the graph is called weighted. Graphs are used to represent elements (vertices) with pairwise relations (edges). For example, the street map of Germany can be represented by vertices for each city and an edge between each pair of cities. The edge weights denote the travel distance between the corresponding cities. A task could then be, for example, to determine the shortest travel distance between Oldenburg and Cologne. A sequence of vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_p$ in which subsequent vertices are connected by an edge is called a path. If $v_1 = v_p$, we say the path is a cycle. A graph is called connected
if for any pair of vertices there exists a path in $G$ between them. A connected graph without cycles is called a tree. A rooted tree is a special tree in which a specific vertex $r$ is called the root. A vertex $u$ is a child of another vertex $v$ if $u$ is a direct successor of $v$ on a path from the root. Then, $u$ is the parent vertex. A vertex without a child is called leaf. A binary tree is a tree in which each vertex has at most two child vertices. An example can be seen in Figure 8. A binary search tree is a special binary tree. Here, the children of a vertex can uniquely be assigned to be either a ‘left’ or a ‘right’ child. Left children have keys that are at most as large as that of their parents, whereas the keys of the right children are at least as large as that of their parents. The left and the right subtrees themselves are also binary search trees. Thus, in an implementation, a vertex is specified by a pointer to the parent, a pointer to the leftchild and a pointer to the rightchild. Depending on the situation, some of these pointers may be NULL. For example, a parent vertex can have only one child vertex and the parent of the root vertex is a NULL pointer.

For inserting a new vertex $q$ into the binary tree, we first need to determine a position where $q$ can be inserted such that the resulting tree is still a binary search tree. Then, $q$ is inserted. In the source code that follows next, we start a path at the root vertex. As long as we have not encountered a leaf vertex, we continue the path in the left subtree if the key of $q$ is smaller than that of the considered vertex, otherwise in the right subtree (lines 7 – 10). Then we have found the vertex $r$ whose child can be $q$. We insert $q$ by saying that its parent is $r$ (line 12). If $q$ is the first vertex to be inserted, it becomes the root (line 13). Otherwise, depending
Figure 9: A binary search tree. The vertex labels are keys.

on the value of its key, q is either in the left or in the right subtree of r (line 14).

Example: Binary Search Tree

Suppose we want to insert a vertex with key 13 into the following binary search tree. As the root has a larger key, we follow the left subtree and reach the vertex with key 10. We continue in the right subtree and reach vertex 12. Finally, a vertex with key 13 is inserted as its right child.

```c
/* insert *q in tree with root **root */
{
    /*search where the vertex can be inserted*/
    vertex *p, *r;
    r = NULL;
    p = *root;
    while (p!=NULL) {
        r = p;
        if (q->key < p->key) p = p->leftchild; else p = p->rightchild;
    }
    /* insert the vertex */
    q->parent = r;
    q->leftchild = NULL;
    q->rightchild = NULL;
    if (r == NULL) *root = q;
    else if (q->key < r->key) r->leftchild = q; else r->rightchild = q;
}
```

Searching for a vertex in the tree with a specific key k is also simple. We start at the root and continue going to child vertices. Whenever we consider a new vertex, we compare its key with k. If k is smaller than that of the current vertex, we continue the search at leftchild, otherwise at rightchild.

```c
/* search(vertex *p, int k) */
{
    while ( (p != NULL) && (p->key != k) )
}
4 if (k < p->key) p = p->leftchild; else p = p->rightchild;
5 return p;
6}

The search for the element with minimum (maximum, resp.) key can then be performed by starting a path from the root, ending at the ‘leftmost’ (‘rightmost’, resp.) leaf. In source code, the search for the minimum looks as follows.

```c
vertex* minimum(vertex *p)
{
    while (p->leftchild != NULL) p = p->leftchild;
    return p;
}
```

6 Advanced Programming

In practice, it is of utmost importance to have fast algorithms with good worst-case performance at hand. Additionally, they need to be implemented efficiently. Furthermore, a careful documentation of the source code is indispensable for debugging and maintaining purposes.

Elementary algorithms and data structures such as those introduced in this chapter are used quite often in larger software projects. Both from a performance and from a software-reusability point of view, they are often not implemented by the programmer. Instead, fast implementations are used that are available in software libraries. The standard C library `stdlib` implements, among other things, different input and output methods, mathematical functions, quicksort and binary search. For data structures and algorithms, (C++) libraries such as LEDA [6], boost[2], or OGDF[4] exist. For linear algebra functions, the libraries BLAS[1] and LAPACK[3] can be used.
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