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Extended Abstract

The development of safety-critical systems in the automotive domain, e.g., Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS), is exposed to an increasing product and process complexity. Assisting the driver and automating driving manoeuvres imply a complex functionality which demands an elaborated design process to guarantee the functional safety of such systems. Representing the state of the art of the application domain, technical standards define complex development procedures and thus play a major role in automotive engineering activities. One example is the forthcoming introduction of the safety standard ISO 26262 for functional safety of road vehicles.

However, these standards comprise an informal representation in natural language text. As a consequence, inconsistencies and flaws regarding the use of technical terms as well as concerning the dependencies between the elements of the standard become evident and may lead to a misinterpretation of the standard’s content. With respect to a specific system under development, manually extracting the relevant requirements and development activities out of the extensive standard is error-prone and time-consuming. This also refers to performing analysis methods imposed by the standards, especially the Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment (HARA) of ISO 26262. Heavily relying on domain knowledge (e.g., environmental conditions or general system properties), such analysis methods can be objectified and automated as far as a generic (i.e., product independent within a specific domain) and computer-readable (thus formal) representation is available. Knowledge models specified by the Web Ontology Language (OWL) are appropriate to handle generic domain concepts and methods in a formal way. The challenge is to integrate the heterogeneous content of the various domain standards into homogeneous ontology models with a sufficient level of detail. Using OWL’s logical base, reasoning engines can be applied to check the models’ consistency (ensuring an unambiguous and consistent knowledge representation) as well as to perform knowledge deduction (for automating analysis techniques and extracting development measures).

Addressing the standard-compliant development of automotive ADAS, a proposed methodology comprises the modelling of automotive domain knowledge and related standards, especially ISO 26262, by means of OWL ontologies. These knowledge models are further processed to conduct a preliminary HARA that enables the automatic derivation of product-relevant measures compliant to the ISO standard, thus tailoring the reference process of ISO 26262 to a specific development. Illustrated by Figure 1.1, the proposed methodology of the thesis consists of the following individual steps that require or generate several artefacts:

1. **Knowledge Formalisation** – Technical domain standards, such as ISO 26262, or other domain guidelines are informal documents that contain but are not limited to domain requirements (e.g., a safety lifecycle) and domain concepts (e.g., generic system properties) in natural language. In a manual step, this domain knowledge is formalised by means of OWL ontology models providing a basis for further processing steps. Although this is a manual and time-consuming task, the modelled knowledge of the formal ontologies can later be reused in other projects of the application domain.
At first, a domain knowledge base is established that comprises overall concepts of the application domain. In the case of the thesis, ADAS of the automotive domain (especially an Adaptive Cruise Control system) are regarded. The formalised concepts have to be generally applicable across various standards of the considered domain and usually refer to the glossaries of the domain standards or guidelines that specify the domain terminology. In addition, the concepts defined in specific terminological standards are integrated. Consequently, the supplementary parts of technical standards and other domain guidelines as well as terminological standards are typically evaluated to establish the domain knowledge base. In doing so, a terminological basis is created to substantiate the model of a specific standard. This specific model of a standard is constituted next.
Within the thesis, the safety standard ISO 26262 has been chosen exemplarily for the model of a specific standard as it will become one of the most important standards of the automotive domain. The ontology model of ISO 26262 focuses on the standard’s process and product requirements, work products, methods, and the process model. The appendices and glossaries are not covered by the model of the specific standard as they have been integrated into the domain knowledge base before. Thus, the “main” parts of ISO 26262 are formalised by an OWL ontology.

As a last task of the knowledge formalisation step, a model of the product concept (i.e. an ADAS concept) has to be created. This ontology model comprises product requirements which can also be derived from a functional standard, such as ISO 15622, and which are related to the knowledge base concepts relevant for the ADAS under development.

2. Knowledge Analysis – Using the formal ontology models, analysis methods proposed by domain standards can be performed automatically by means of reasoning engines applied to the ontologies. This automatic step shall compute a selection criterion for deriving relevant measures from the standard model with respect to the system under development.

Within the thesis, the HARA of ISO 26262 is considered that calculates the risk class of the regarded product. In terms of ISO 26262, this risk class is represented by the Automotive Safety Integrity Level (ASIL) which constitutes the required selection criterion. To sum up, the step of knowledge analysis provides the model-based approach of a preliminary risk analysis according to ISO 26262.

3a. Knowledge Deduction – By means of the calculated selection criterion, the relevant measures for the regarded system can be automatically inferred from the model of the standard as ISO 26262 classifies its measures according to the ASIL. The ASIL-related process and product requirements, work products, and methods of ISO 26262 are linked to the ISO’s process steps so that a reference process compliant to ISO 26262 can be obtained, tailored to the system under development.

The ISO-compliant reference process shall be combined with an individual process that represents a company-specific process model. The individual process has to be available as an OWL ontology as well. Within the thesis, the DeSCAS process model demonstrates the company-specific process due to its formal base. A process mapping (in terms of an OWL ontology) connects the relevant measures with the individual process by relating process elements of the tailored ISO process to corresponding elements of the DeSCAS process model. At this point of the methodology, the thesis is embedded into the work of the DeSCAS project and the PhD thesis Zur interdisziplinären Entwicklung sicherheitskritischer Assistenz- und Automationssysteme im Automobil of Jan Gačník (2012) where the DeSCAS process model and its further processing have been elaborated.

3b. Knowledge Illustration – The qualification of ADAS is usually accomplished in a document-oriented manner. Reusing the traceability provided during the Knowledge Analysis and Knowledge Deduction step, compliance documentation can be automatically generated in
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order to support qualification and certification activities. Within the thesis, HTML document- 
ation will export the tailored and mapped development process applicable for the re- 
garded system under development by using the DeSCAS prototype toolchain developed in 
the thesis of Jan Gačník (see above). This documentation may demonstrate that the stan- 
dard’s measures have been integrated into the product development, thus implying standard 
compliance.

All in all, the proposed methodology provides an integrated approach for supporting the standard-
compliant development of automotive ADAS. As the domain knowledge base of the Knowledge 
Formalisation provides a practicable fundament of modelled domain concepts to be used by the 
other knowledge models, its completeness and level of detail heavily affects the results of the sub-
sequent Knowledge Analysis and Knowledge Deduction. For this reason, the knowledge base shall 
be regarded as an evolving expert system that can be easily enhanced by further domain concepts 
necessary to detail the examination of a (new) automotive system. This aspect is supported by 
using open ontology models. The model of a specific standard mainly covers the composition and 
the elements of ISO 26262 as well as the relations of the standard’s elements instead of formal-
ising the content of the standard’s requirements. Thus, an objective modelling of ISO 26262 can 
be achieved by directly representing the structure of the standard. Concerning the Knowledge 
Analysis, the model-based approach of the risk analysis of ISO 26262 builds upon the knowledge 
base (especially a generic hazard list for automotive systems) so that the analysis results depend 
on the quality of the modelled domain knowledge available in the knowledge base. The analysis 
results can be validated by evaluating the traceability information provided by the related ontology 
models. These traceability information can further be used for validating the process derivation of 
the Knowledge Deduction step. The process itself is assessed by checking for executability using 
consistency checks and model checking as well as by showing compliance to the underlying stan-
dard. Finally, Knowledge Illustration summarises the obtained results in a comprehensible form 
for demonstrating standard compliance.

The appliance of open OWL ontologies supports reuse and easy enhancement of the knowledge 
modelling. The high complexity in reasoning on the OWL models can be counteracted by separat-
ing the ontology content and applying rule or query languages (such as SWRL and SPARQL) to the 
OWL ontologies instead of complex OWL axioms. However, long computation times in reasoning 
do not necessarily obstruct the appliance of the proposed methodology as real-time criticality is 
not an issue when conducting the methodology.

Finally, the proposed methodology improves the efficiency in the development and qualification of 
safety-critical systems by reducing development times via automated analyses and process tailoring 
in contrast to manually accomplished development tasks. Further, the manageability of demanded 
standards (e.g. ISO 26262) and applicability of the standard’s analysis methods (e.g. a preliminary 
HARA) are also improved by reasoning on the ontology models. Thus at an early stage of develop-
ment, a concept of a (new) automotive system can be assessed in terms of the development effort 
to be expected. On the other hand, it might happen that the development effort is rather shifted 
to other development activities than really reduced. The inherent complexity, high modelling effect 
and maintenance of the OWL ontologies as well the continuous examination of modelling results 
may decrease the saved development effort that has been achieved by means of the knowledge 
models. However, if a high level of reuse of the ontologies is enabled, the benefit of the thesis’s 
approaches will become evident. For this purpose, the knowledge models have to be developed in 
such a way that they can be applied to many different classes of automotive systems.
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