

# European IP Policies between Harmonization and Variability

---

**PD Dr. Ingrid Schneider**

University of Hamburg, Institute of Political Science,  
FSP BIOGUM - [Ingrid.Schneider@uni-hamburg.de](mailto:Ingrid.Schneider@uni-hamburg.de)

Workshop, 14 - 15 April 2011, University of Oldenburg

**Europeanisation of Innovation: Levels, Institutions and  
Procedures in the Governance of Research and IP Policies**

**Short Version of the Presentation**

# European Integration Research

---

- **Europe heading toward harmonization or variability?**
- **General Questions:**
  - Where to draw the boundaries of Europe?
  - Self-definition?
  - Dealing with differences?
  - Speaking in a single voice?
  - Centralised or federalist model?
- → applied to **European IP/ Patent System and the transnational cooperation of patent offices**

# Outline

---

- ❑ 1. Theoretical frameworks from EU integration theory: Variable geometry and transnational cooperation
- ❑ 2. Basics: The European Patent System
- ❑ 3. Empirical findings on harmonization/variation and questions for future research
  - ❑ 3.1. Grant of patents by the EPO
  - ❑ 3.2. European patents post-grant
  - ❑ 3.3. National Patent Offices (NPOs) and the EPO
  - ❑ 3.4. Effects of „centralization“ (EPO) on NPOs
- ❑ 4. Challenges to the international patent system
- ❑ 5. Responses to Europeanization and international challenges
  - ❑ 5.1. NPO – EPO: “Centralization”
  - ❑ 5.2. NPO – EPO: “Decentralization”/Cooperation: The European Patent Network
  - ❑ 5.3. Internationalization
    - ❑ 5.3.1. Bilateral Cooperation: PPH
    - ❑ 5.3.2. IP5 – cooperation of the major Patent Offices
- ❑ 6. Tentative assessment and possible future developments

# 1. Theoretical frameworks

---

## □ 1.1. European integration theory:

- “Negative” and positive „positive” integration (Scharpf)
- technocratic vs political integration in IP issues

## □ 1.2. Widening and deepening of integration:

- “core of Europe” (Habermas, Derrida) with France and Germany as “engines” or “gravitation centres” (Fischer)
  - “Europe of two speeds” (Schäuble, Lamers)
  - “Europe à la carte”
  - “variable geometry”
- both harmonization and variability

## □ 1.3. European “administrative spaces” (Olsen 2003, Egeberg 2007),

- governance by administrative networks (Slaughter 2004)
- “transnational regulatory regimes” (Eberlein, Grande 2005)

# European Enlargement: possible consequences

---

## □ Research questions:

- How is widening and deepening of European patent integration being realized?
- And how can we assess the impact of these processes?

## □ “Two speeds”:

- More harmonization and convergence or
- exacerbation of gaps and divergences?

## □ Variable geometry:

- those leading in the “deepening of integration” will “pull the others behind” with positive effects for all, or
- Segmentation, and thus a setback for Europe as a whole?

## □ Inside/ Outside Europe:

- more cooperation and approximation or
- growing disparities between the centre and the periphery?

## 6. Tentative assessment and outlook to possible future developments

---

- 6.1. “Variable geometry” and “two speeds”
- 6.2. European Patent Network – what model for Europe?
- 6.3. Possible scenarios for the future
- 6.4. The difficulties of anticipatory impact assessment

# The difficulties of anticipatory impact assessment

---

- Whether current processes will lead towards
  - technical and/or political harmonization and convergence
  - or to peaceful co-existence of variations
  - or to expansion at the expense of capabilities for guidance and steering (EPO – Ad Council)
  - or to growing divergence and even fragmentation
- should be subjected to academic inquiry and scholarly scrutiny
- So far: little knowledge and transparency
- Problematic in terms of democratic decision-making:
  - Mostly administrative or executive politics
  - Not subjected to parliamentary control